



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME LIVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/489,605	01/21/2000	William 1. 1505	1	STL000023US1	6038
23373 75	90 04/21/2004			EXAMI	NER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC				NGUYEN, CAM LINH T	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				2171	2.1
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	31

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		BAER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	09/489,605					
omee mean cammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	CamLinh Nguyen	he correspondence address				
Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply leply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 kd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	March 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	I, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	on priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not rec	eived.				
·						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>29</u> .	6)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendments to claim 1 – 20 are acknowledged. Consequently,
 claims 1 – 20 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reynolds et al (U.S. 6,411,993).
- ◆ As per claims 1, 6, 11,

Reynolds et al discloses a method for facilitating creation and manipulation of compilations of content selected by a user, comprising:

- "Providing a functional layer for interfacing over a network with a user interface and interfacing with a data repository containing a plurality of content entities."
 See Fig. 3. In particular:
 - "A functional layer" corresponds to the "Ibook server application 64"
 - "Interfacing over a network" corresponds to the "data network 52"

Art Unit: 2171

- "A user interface" corresponds to the "client 54, 58, 60"
- "Interfacing with a data repository containing a plurality of content entities" corresponds to "databases 66 – 76"
- "The functional layer comprising a plurality of function modules, each function pertaining to the creation or manipulation of a compilation of content." The Ibook server application 64 handles different functions such as directs the overall operation of Ibook databases 66 72 (col. 5 lines 23 28), enrollment functions, downloading tools to the user (col. 5 lines 67 col. 6 lines 6), generating a viewer ID (col. 6 lines 32 33); therefore, the server must include plurality of modules to handle these functions.
- The plurality of function modules receives request from the user via the user interface and returns information concerning the compilation of content" See Fig.
 in particular:
 - "Request from the user" corresponds to the "request desired passage from server" element 200 in Fig. 9 col. 11 lines 34 – 43.
 - "The user interface" corresponds to the interface shown in Fig. 8.
 - "Returns information concerning the compilation of content" Fig. 9,
 element 206, col. 11 lines 43 45.
- As per claims 2 3, 7 8, 12 13,
 - "One of the function modules creates a list of content entity identifiers defining the content and order of a compilation." See col. 9 lines 36 50. The server application 64 cause information to be stored in databases including the

Art Unit: 2171

identities, time/date which identify the order of information also can be stored. When the user view the information, this information can represents as a list (col. 10 lines 45 - 57. The server also can provide an index that to be stored in database (col. 14 lines 46 - 51), or a list (col. 15 lines 54 - 60).

- " At least one function module manipulates the list to redefine the content" See
 col. 12 lines 9 11.
- As per claims 4 − 5, 9 − 10, 14 − 15,
 - "The compilation is hierarchically structured and wherein on of the function modules creates an outline of containers and content entity identifiers." Reynolds teaches, "Various techniques may be used to represent the contents of ibook Web pages (e.g., the ibook Web pages in ibook Web pages database 76). For example, ibook Web pages may be represented by static data. Alternatively, ibook Web pages may be represented by code that is capable of generating the Web page contents, e.g., code that generates the entries of a table or list." See col. 15 lines 54 60. Therefore, the compilation can also represent as a hierarchically structured.
 - A "list" corresponds to the "outline."
- ♦ As per claims 16 18,
 - " One of the function modules calculates a price for the compilation of content"
 See Fig. 10, col. 12 lines 4 22.
- ◆ As per claims 19 20,

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/489,605

Art Unit: 2171

 " The plurality of content entities comprise catalogs of data stored in the data repository" See Fig. 2.

 " Said containers comprise at least one book having at least one volume and at least one chapter" See col. 3, lines 51 – 62.

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 03/24/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- ♦ As per claim 1,
 - Applicant argues that the Reynolds reference discloses only one content entity, not a plurality of content entities used by a user for the compilation of content. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Applicant admits that database 76 stores Ibook web pages (page 8, second paragraph). Each web page is considered as an entity. There are plurality of web pages in that database (See Fig. 2). Therefore, the database 76 is a content that stores plurality of entities.

Applicant argues that Reynolds does not disclose that the content forming
the compilation is selected from database 76, since database 76 stores
data, which has already been selected for inclusion in an Ibook.
 Accordingly, database 76 does not contain content that a user selects for
inclusion in the complication. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Referring to col. 15, lines 56 – 60, Reynolds teaches that the web page can be represented by code or static data. At col. 7, lines 1 – 13, a contributor

Art Unit: 2171

may create a new material based on existing material, using plurality of tools. Therefore, when the contributor derives information from the content using derivation tool, the result or content must be in the form of compilation of content.

 Applicant argues that Reynolds does not disclose that the server does not include a plurality of modules as cited in claim 1. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

As noted above, a contributor may create a new material based on existing material, using plurality of tools (col. 7, lines 1-13), such as derivation, linking, editing tools. These tools correspond to plurality of modules that handle different function.

- ♦ As per claim 2,
 - Applicant argues that Reynolds does not disclose the identity of a passages' creator identifies a content entity. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Referring to col. 9, lines 35 - 55, Reynolds clearly discloses the authoring tool identifies the web page, and also identifies passage characteristics include the identity of the passage's contributor, the time and data of passage creation.

- ♦ As per claim 3,
 - Applicant argues that Reynolds does not disclose at least one of the function modules manipulates the list of content entity identifiers to

Art Unit: 2171

redefine the content or order of the compilation. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Referring to col. 15, lines 56 – 60, Reynolds teaches that the web page can be represented by code or static data. At col. 7, lines 1 – 13, a contributor may create a new material based on existing material, using plurality of tools. Therefore, when the contributor derives information from the content using derivation tool, the result or content must redefine the content of the compilation.

Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2171

Page 8

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CamLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is 305-1951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LN

WAYNE AMSBURY
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER